Chang Gung University Faculty Promotion Review Form - Books, Academic Papers, Reports, Works, and Achievement Evidence (To Be Completed by the Departmental Faculty Review Committee)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name |  | Service Department |  College Department (Graduate Institute, Division) | Current Rank |  |
| Academic Qualifications | □Doctorate □Master’s Degree □Bachelor’s Degree | Year of Graduation | Year | Start Date of Employment |  | Application Rank for Promotion | □Professor □Associate Professor □Assistant Professor |
| Teaching | Review of the Teaching Evaluation Form and Attached Materials for Faculty Promotion  Score： Points（Total Mark: 40. Pass Mark: 28） |
| Service and Guidance | Evaluation based on the service and guidance items and their performance results (Maximum score for a single item: 20 points for serving as a first-level supervisor, 15 points for a second-level supervisor, 10 points for being a mentor or student club advisor, 7.5 points for a committee chair, 3.8 points for a committee member, 2-10 points for other administrative service work)  Score： Points(Total Mark: 20. Pass Mark 14） |
| Representative Publication | \* Teaching Practice Research: The appropriateness and innovation of teaching development concepts, theoretical foundations, target audience, instructional content, and analytical methods; specific contributions to enhancing learning outcomes and on-campus and off-campus promotion.\* Industry-University Liaison Applied Research: Development or creation of research concepts and theoretical foundations, analysis and reasoning of thematic content, technological foresight, innovation or breakthroughs, practical application value, and specific contributions to the industry一、Reviewers’ Comments on the Representative Publication: (If this section is insufficient, please provide additional information on another sheet.二、Overall Evaluation of the Representative Publication 1.Clarity of the organizational structure: 2.Rigor of the experimental design and the data collection: 3.Substantiveness of the content and foundation of the argument: 4.Innovation in conclusions: 5.Academic or practical value in conclusions: 6.Appropriateness of the thesis (report) writing style 7.Depth and coherence of the research: 8.Others三、Review Results： □ Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Needs Improvement 四、Review Score： Points (25 in total) |
| Reference publications | 一、Reviewers’ Comments on the Reference Publications: (If this section is insufficient, please provide additional information on another sheet.二、Review Results： □ Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Needs Improvement 三、Review Score： Points (15 in total) |
| Overall Research Evaluation | 1.Total Score: Score for the representative publication \_\_\_\_\_ + Score for the reference publications \_\_\_\_\_ = Score \_\_\_\_\_\_ (Total score is 40 points. Pass mark is 28 points or above).2.Overall Evaluation: (Research Ability and Overall Performance) □ Excellent □ Good □ Average □ Needs Improvement |
| Comprehensive Evaluation | 一、Overall Score = Teaching Score \_\_\_\_ + Service and Guidance Score \_\_\_\_ + Research Achievements Score \_\_\_\_ = Score \_\_\_\_ (Total score: 100. Passing grade: 70 or above)二、Overall Recommendation: □ Strongly Recommended □ Recommended □ Recommended with reservations □ Not Recommended(90 points or above) (80 ~ 89 points) (70 ~ 79 points) (69 points or below)Faculty Promotion Review Committee Member： (Signature) \_\_ Year \_\_ Month \_\_ Day  |